

OROFACIAL CLEFTS: A LITERATURE REVIEW OF ETIOPATHOGENESIS, EPIDEMIOLOGY, AND MULTIDISCIPLINARY MANAGEMENT

Dr. Areesha Saleem¹, Dr. Bikash Chaurasia*²

¹MSc in Dentistry, Lincoln University College, Malaysia.

²Assistant Professor, Lincoln University College, Malaysia.

Article Received: 8 January 2026 | Article Revised: 29 January 2026 | Article Accepted: 18 February 2026

*Corresponding Author: Dr. Bikash Chaurasia
Assistant Professor, Lincoln University College, Malaysia.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18798633>

How to cite this Article: Dr. Areesha Saleem (2026) OROFACIAL CLEFTS: A LITERATURE REVIEW OF ETIOPATHOGENESIS, EPIDEMIOLOGY, AND MULTIDISCIPLINARY MANAGEMENT. World Journal of Pharmaceutical Science and Research, 5(3), 16-28. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18798633>



Copyright © 2026 Dr. Areesha Saleem | World Journal of Pharmaceutical Science and Research.

This work is licensed under creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International license (CC BY-NC 4.0).

ABSTRACT

Orofacial clefts (OFCs), encompassing cleft lip with or without palate (CL±P) and isolated cleft palate (CP), are among the most prevalent congenital craniofacial anomalies worldwide. Their etiology is multifactorial, involving complex interactions between genetic susceptibility and environmental modifiers during critical periods of embryogenesis. The development of cleft palate involves a complex interplay of genetic and environmental factors. Key regulatory genes influence neural crest cell migration and palatal fusion, while maternal exposures and health status are recognized as modulators of risk. The condition represents a significant global health burden, with prevalence varying worldwide and outcomes disproportionately severe in settings with limited access to surgical and multidisciplinary care. Advances in genomic research and registry data have refined our understanding of cleft etiology and highlighted the potential for prevention through public health measures. The management of OFCs necessitates a lifelong, multidisciplinary approach integrating surgical, orthodontic, speech, audiological, and psychosocial care to achieve optimal functional and aesthetic outcomes. This review synthesizes current evidence on the embryological, genetic, and epidemiological landscape of OFCs and outlines the principles of modern, protocol-driven multidisciplinary management, emphasizing the need for equitable access to care globally.

KEYWORDS: Orofacial Clefts; Cleft Lip; Cleft Palate; Embryology; Genetics; Epidemiology; Multidisciplinary Care; Global Health.

1. INTRODUCTION

Orofacial clefts (OFCs) represent a significant global health challenge that transcends mere surgical repair to encompass complex developmental, functional, and psychosocial dimensions. As one of the most common congenital anomalies, with an estimated global prevalence of approximately 1 in 1,000 live births, OFCs impose a substantial burden on healthcare systems, families, and affected individuals throughout their lifespan. The lifelong impact of these craniofacial malformations extends beyond the visible facial differences to include fundamental challenges in feeding, speech, hearing, and psychological well-being. Recent analyses indicate that in 2021 alone, there were approximately 4.12 million prevalent cases globally, underscoring the persistent scale of this public health issue.^[1]

The scientific understanding of OFCs has evolved dramatically from a purely anatomical perspective to a sophisticated appreciation of their multifactorial origins and lifelong implications. Today, we recognize that successful management requires not only technical surgical excellence but also a profound understanding of the intricate embryological processes that, when disrupted, lead to clefting, the complex genetic architecture that predisposes individuals to these anomalies, and the environmental factors that modulate risk. This comprehensive approach has transformed OFC care from a series of isolated surgical procedures to a coordinated multidisciplinary endeavor that spans from prenatal diagnosis through adulthood.

As we look toward the future, several transformative trends are poised to reshape the OFC landscape. Advances in genomics, particularly through multi-ancestry genome-wide association studies, are beginning to unravel the complex polygenic architecture of non-syndromic clefts and identify specific molecular pathways that could become targets for prevention or intervention.^[4] Simultaneously, innovations in surgical techniques, including the potential application of tissue engineering and regenerative medicine, promise to improve functional and aesthetic outcomes while reducing the burden of multiple operations. Perhaps most critically, the growing recognition of global health disparities in OFC care has catalyzed efforts to develop scalable, context-appropriate models that can deliver comprehensive care even in resource-limited settings.^[5,6]

This review synthesizes current knowledge while deliberately adopting a forward-looking perspective that anticipates how emerging technologies, evolving care paradigms, and global health initiatives might converge to improve prevention, diagnosis, treatment, and long-term outcomes for individuals with OFCs worldwide. By examining the embryological and genetic foundations, epidemiological patterns, established management protocols, and persistent challenges through this futuristic lens, we aim to provide not only a comprehensive summary of the present state of knowledge but also a roadmap for the next decade of innovation in OFC care.

2. Embryological and Genetic Basis: Foundations for Future Interventions

2.1 Embryology as a Blueprint for Regenerative Approaches

Facial development represents one of the most intricate choreographies in human embryogenesis, with the critical period for orofacial formation occurring primarily between the fourth and twelfth weeks of gestation. This process begins with the emergence of facial prominence, the frontonasal prominence and paired maxillary and mandibular prominences from the first pharyngeal arch. Between the fifth and seventh weeks, the medial nasal processes merge with the maxillary processes to form the primary palate, which includes the lip and anterior alveolar ridge. Failure of this fusion results in cleft lip, with the unilateral predominance (approximately 2:1 left-to-right ratio) suggesting asymmetric developmental processes that remain incompletely understood.^[9]

The subsequent development of the secondary palate involves an even more complex sequence. The palatal shelves, originating from the maxillary prominences, initially grow vertically downward alongside the tongue. Between the seventh and tenth weeks, they undergo a dramatic elevation movement to a horizontal position above the tongue, followed by midline fusion and anterior connection with the primary palate and nasal septum. This precisely timed process depends on intricate molecular signaling and mechanical forces, with disruptions at any stage potentially resulting in cleft palate of varying severity. Recent research has particularly highlighted the role of epithelial-mesenchymal crosstalk in directing these fusion events, with specialized epithelial structures like the periderm playing crucial roles in preventing premature adhesion.^[13]

Future interventions may leverage this detailed embryological understanding for preventive strategies aimed at promoting normal fusion processes in at-risk fetuses. Research is exploring the potential for targeted molecular interventions during critical windows of development, though significant ethical and technical barriers remain. More immediately, this embryological knowledge informs the timing and techniques of surgical repair, with the goal of restoring not just anatomy but also the developmental potential of the affected tissues.

2.2 Cellular Mechanisms: Towards Targeted Molecular Therapies

At the cellular level, OFCs result from disruptions in the precisely coordinated behaviors of cranial neural crest cells (CNCCs)—multipotent progenitor cells that give rise to most craniofacial mesenchyme. Their proper migration, proliferation, and differentiation are paramount for normal facial development.^[11] The fusion process itself requires the precise regulation of the medial epithelial seam (MES), a transient epithelial structure that must be appropriately removed via programmed cell death, epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT), and cell migration to achieve mesenchymal continuity.^[12] Recent discoveries have highlighted how loss of epithelial integrity, particularly through disrupted E-cadherin function in neural crest cells, can drive cleft pathogenesis by interfering with essential epithelial remodeling processes.

The molecular pathways governing these cellular processes offer potential targets for future therapeutic interventions. Key signaling pathways include:

This cellular dance is directed by conserved molecular pathways, with specific signaling molecules playing critical roles.^[2,13] The process is centrally governed by TGF- β (particularly the TGF- β 3 isoform), which is essential for palatal shelf fusion and the disintegration of the medial epithelial seam (MES). Bone Morphogenetic Proteins (BMPs) regulate the growth and patterning of the facial prominences, while Fibroblast Growth Factors (FGFs) drive mesenchymal proliferation and mediate crucial epithelial-mesenchymal crosstalk. Sonic Hedgehog (SHH) signaling is indispensable for the correct patterning of the medial nasal process and subsequent palatal growth. Simultaneously, WNT signaling controls the fundamental processes of neural crest specification and overall craniofacial patterning. Perturbations in these tightly regulated interactions, whether arising from genetic mutations or exposure to environmental teratogens, can lead to either quantitative defects (such as inadequate tissue growth) or qualitative defects (like failed fusion), ultimately resulting in clefting.^[13]

Future research is increasingly focused on understanding how subtle variations in these pathways, rather than complete disruptions, contribute to non-syndromic clefting. This nuanced understanding may eventually enable risk stratification and potentially even targeted *in utero* interventions for high-risk pregnancies, though such approaches remain firmly in the research domain.

2.3 Genetic Architecture: From Association to Mechanism

The genetic basis of OFCs has been progressively illuminated through large-scale genomic studies, revealing a complex landscape that differs substantially between syndromic and non-syndromic forms. Approximately 30% of OFCs occur as part of recognized syndromes with known monogenic, chromosomal, or teratogenic causes, while the remaining 70% are classified as non-syndromic.^[14] Among syndromic forms, conditions like Van der Woude Syndrome (caused by mutations in the *IRF6* gene, often featuring clefting with distinctive lip pits) and 22q11.2 Deletion Syndrome (commonly presenting with cleft palate alongside cardiac and immunological abnormalities) provide important insights into critical developmental pathways.^[15]

For non-syndromic OFCs (NSOFCs), genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have revolutionized our understanding, moving beyond rare familial forms to elucidate the polygenic architecture that underlies most cases. A landmark multi-ancestry GWAS meta-analysis identified 41 significant single-nucleotide polymorphisms across 26 loci, 14 of which were novel, collectively accounting for a substantial portion of heritability.^[4] This and subsequent studies have confirmed established risk genes like *IRF6*, *PAX7*, *MSX1*, and *MAFB*, while also highlighting population-specific variations in genetic risk architecture.^[16]

Looking forward, the next frontier in OFC genetics involves moving beyond association to mechanistic understanding. This includes elucidating how risk variants in non-coding regions affect gene expression in craniofacial tissues, understanding gene-gene interactions that amplify or mitigate risk, and characterizing how genetic susceptibility modulates responses to environmental exposures. Emerging technologies like single-cell RNA sequencing of developing craniofacial tissues and organoid models of palatogenesis promise to bridge this gap between genetic association and biological mechanism, potentially identifying new targets for preventive strategies.

3. Epidemiology and Global Burden: Patterns and Predictive Modeling

3.1 Demographic Patterns and Their Implications

The global epidemiology of OFCs reveals striking demographic patterns that provide important clues to etiology while highlighting disparities in burden and care. The overall birth prevalence of approximately 1 in 1,000 live births masks substantial geographic variation, with Asian populations exhibiting the highest rates (approximately 1.42 per 1,000 for cleft lip with or without palate), European and Latin American populations showing intermediate rates, and African ancestry populations demonstrating the lowest prevalence (approximately 0.41 per 1,000).^[1] This strong ethnic patterning underscores the genetic underpinnings of susceptibility while raising questions about potential protective factors in certain populations.

Sex distribution represents another consistent demographic pattern, with a pronounced male predominance for cleft lip with or without palate (male-to-female ratio approximately 1.5:1 to 2:1) contrasted with a female predominance for isolated cleft palate.^[1,17] This sexual dimorphism suggests potentially different developmental mechanisms or modifying factors between these phenotypic categories. Similarly, the well-documented laterality bias, with unilateral clefts far more common than bilateral forms and a left-side predominance among unilateral cases (approximately 2:1 left-to-right ratio), points to underlying developmental asymmetries that warrant further investigation.^[17]

Future epidemiological research will likely increasingly leverage big data approaches—integrating genomic data, detailed environmental exposure assessments, and clinical outcome data across diverse populations—to move beyond

descriptive patterns toward predictive models of individual risk. Such models could eventually enable targeted preventive counseling and surveillance for high-risk pregnancies, though significant methodological and ethical considerations must be addressed.

3.2 Global Burden and Equity Imperatives

The burden of OFCs extends far beyond birth prevalence to encompass substantial mortality, morbidity, and socioeconomic impact, with profound inequities in distribution. While OFCs are treatable conditions, they contribute to significant childhood mortality in resource-limited settings, with mortality rates in low Socio-demographic Index (SDI) regions approximately 25 times higher than in high-SDI regions.^[5] This disparity reflects not only differences in access to surgical care but also variations in nutritional support, management of associated anomalies, and general healthcare infrastructure.

The Disability-Adjusted Life Year (DALY) metric quantifies this burden comprehensively, capturing both years of life lost to premature mortality and years lived with disability. Global burden studies consistently show that the DALY burden of OFCs falls disproportionately on low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), with South Asia and Central Asia identified as particularly affected regions.^[17] This pattern reflects an inverse correlation between socioeconomic development and OFC burden lower SDI quintiles are associated with higher incidence, prevalence, and DALY rates that persists despite advances in surgical technique and care delivery in high-income settings.

Future approaches to reducing the global burden of OFCs must address these profound inequities through context-appropriate innovations. This includes developing simplified, cost-effective care protocols that can be delivered by non-specialist providers in resource-limited settings, leveraging telemedicine for remote consultation and mentorship, and strengthening health systems to ensure resilient surgical pathways even in challenging circumstances. The COVID-19 pandemic highlighted the vulnerability of OFC care systems globally, with studies documenting significant delays in primary repairs that impacted nutritional status and parental anxiety. Building pandemic-resilient systems that can maintain essential cleft services during health emergencies represents an important future priority.

4. Etiology: Multifactorial Origins and Prevention Strategies

4.1 Gene-Environment Interactions: A Nuanced Risk Landscape

The etiology of non-syndromic OFCs represents a classic example of complex gene-environment interaction, where genetic susceptibility combines with environmental exposures during critical developmental windows to cross a threshold for cleft formation. This multifactorial model helps explain several epidemiological observations, including the variability in recurrence risk among families, population differences in prevalence, and the incomplete concordance in monozygotic twins.

Strong and consistent environmental risk factors include maternal smoking (with active smoking increasing risk approximately 1.3–1.6 fold), certain teratogenic medications like valproate, and maternal metabolic conditions including pre-pregnancy obesity and diabetes.^[19-21] The mechanisms likely involve oxidative stress, hypoxia, and inflammatory pathways that disrupt the delicate cellular processes of facial development. Interestingly, the risk from maternal smoking appears amplified in individuals carrying certain genetic susceptibility variants, illustrating true gene-environment interaction.^[4]

Emerging evidence points to additional environmental factors, including air pollution exposure (particularly to fine particulate matter and nitrogen dioxide in early pregnancy) and certain occupational exposures.^[23] The mechanisms here may involve systemic inflammation, epigenetic modifications, or direct toxic effects on developing craniofacial structures. Research is increasingly focused on understanding the biological pathways through which these diverse exposures converge to disrupt palatogenesis, with the goal of identifying common molecular targets for prevention.

4.2 Nutritional Factors and the Promise of Primary Prevention

Among modifiable risk factors, nutritional status offers perhaps the greatest potential for population-level prevention. Folic acid supplementation has a well-established protective effect against neural tube defects, and evidence suggests a more modest protective effect against OFCs as well.^[22] This has led to recommendations for periconceptional folic acid supplementation for all women of childbearing age, with higher doses recommended for those with additional risk factors.

Beyond folate, other micronutrients are under investigation for their potential roles in cleft prevention. These include vitamin B12, vitamin A, zinc, and other compounds involved in one-carbon metabolism or antioxidant defense. Future research will likely focus on optimizing nutritional recommendations potentially moving toward personalized supplementation strategies based on genetic markers of nutrient metabolism and on addressing the social and economic barriers that limit adherence to supplementation guidelines, particularly in resource-limited settings.

The future of OFC prevention may also include pharmacological approaches for high-risk pregnancies identified through genetic screening or family history. While such interventions remain speculative, research in animal models has demonstrated that targeted administration of specific molecules can prevent clefting in genetically susceptible embryos. Translating these findings to human pregnancies presents substantial scientific, ethical, and regulatory challenges but represents a promising long-term direction for the field.

5. Clinical Management: Evolving Paradigms and Technological Frontiers

5.1 The Multidisciplinary Team: Evolving Composition and Coordination

The management of OFCs has evolved from fragmented, procedure-focused approaches to integrated, lifelong care delivered by coordinated multidisciplinary teams (MDTs). The core composition of these teams typically includes plastic/craniofacial surgeons, pediatricians, speech-language therapists, ENT specialists/audiologists, orthodontists, geneticists, psychologists/social workers, and dedicated care coordinators.^[28] This comprehensive approach addresses not only the anatomical defect but also the functional, developmental, and psychosocial consequences that extend across the lifespan.

Future MDTs may see expanded roles for certain specialists and the inclusion of new expertise areas. Genetic counselors are likely to play increasingly important roles as genetic testing becomes more comprehensive and accessible, helping families understand complex risk information and make informed reproductive decisions. Similarly, mental health professionals may take on more prominent roles in addressing the psychological impact of living with a visible difference, particularly during critical developmental transitions like adolescence.

Technological innovations are also transforming team coordination. Secure digital platforms for sharing imaging, treatment plans, and progress notes to facilitate communication among geographically dispersed specialists.

Telemedicine enables remote consultations, reducing travel burdens for families while expanding access to subspecialty expertise. Looking further ahead, artificial intelligence (AI) systems may eventually assist MDTs by analyzing complex datasets to predict individual treatment responses, recommend intervention timing, or identify early signs of complications.

5.2 Surgical Innovations and Regenerative Approaches

Surgical repair remains the cornerstone of OFC management, with established protocols for timing and technique continuing to evolve based on emerging evidence. The recent landmark TOPS trial demonstrated that earlier palate repair (at 6 months versus 12 months) leads to better speech outcomes at one year, though long-term effects on maxillary growth require continued evaluation.^[31] This finding exemplifies the ongoing refinement of surgical timing to optimize functional outcomes while minimizing potential adverse effects on growth.

Future surgical innovations may extend beyond refinement of existing techniques to include paradigm-shifting approaches. Tissue engineering and regenerative medicine hold promise, with research exploring biomaterial scaffolds, stem cell therapies, and growth factor delivery to enhance healing and potentially reduce the need for multiple surgical procedures. While most applications remain experimental, early clinical studies have explored the use of engineered tissues for alveolar bone grafting and nasal reconstruction.

Minimally invasive techniques, including endoscopic approaches and robotic surgery, may offer advantages in precision and reduced tissue trauma, though their applicability to infant cleft repair presents technical challenges. Similarly, advances in imaging such as high-resolution 4D ultrasound for prenatal assessment and dynamic MRI for evaluating velopharyngeal function are providing surgeons with more detailed anatomical and functional information to guide procedural planning and evaluate outcomes.

Table: Timeline of Modern Cleft Care with Future Innovations.

Parental	Ultrasound diagnosis, genetic counseling	Non-invasive parental testing for genetic syndromes, in utero imaging for surgical planning
Neonatal	Feeding support, presurgical orthopedics (NAM)	Bioactive feeding appliances, growth factors-enhanced wound healing
Primary Repair	Lip repair (3-6 months), palate repair (6-12 months)	Tissue-engineered constructs, minimal invasive robotic techniques
Childhood	Speech therapy, alveolar bone grafting (8-11 years), orthodontics	Stem cell-enhanced bone regeneration, AI-powered speech therapy tools
Adolescence/Adult hood	Orthognathic surgery, rhinoplasty, final dental restorations	3D-printed patient-specific implants, virtual surgical planning with predictive outcomes

5.3 Digital Technologies and Personalized Care

Digital technologies are increasingly integrated throughout the OFC care pathway. 3D imaging and printing now enable the creation of patient-specific models for surgical planning, custom surgical guides, and personalized orthopedic appliances. Virtual surgical planning allows surgeons to simulate procedures and outcomes, improve precision, and potentially reduce operative time. Looking forward, these technologies may be combined with predictive algorithms to forecast individual growth patterns and optimize the timing of interventions.

Wearable sensors and mobile health applications offer new possibilities for remote monitoring and therapy adherence. For example, devices that monitor feeding efficiency in infants with cleft palates or applications that provide guided

speech therapy exercises could extend the reach of clinical interventions into the home environment. Similarly, virtual reality systems are being explored for both surgical training and patient preparation procedures, potentially reducing anxiety and improving cooperation.

Perhaps the most transformative will be the integration of multi-omics data genomic, transcriptomic, proteomic, and metabolomic profiles with clinical and imaging data to create comprehensive digital twins of individual patients. These virtual representations could be used to simulate treatment responses and optimize personalized care pathways, though realizing this vision will require advances in data integration, computational modeling, and ethical frameworks for digital health.

6. Discussion: Synthesizing Present Knowledge for Future Impact

6.1 Integrating Basic Science and Clinical Practice

The progression from descriptive understanding of OFCs to mechanistic insight has created unprecedented opportunities for translational impact. Embryological studies have evolved from anatomical descriptions to molecular characterization of signaling pathways, revealing potential targets for preventive interventions. Similarly, genetic research has moved from familial linkage studies to genome-wide association analyses and now functional investigation of risk loci, progressively unraveling the complex architecture of susceptibility.

Translating these advances into clinical impact requires bidirectional dialogue between basic scientists and clinicians. Research priorities should be informed by clinical challenges such as the need to predict which children will develop velopharyngeal insufficiency or significant midface retrusion while laboratory discoveries must be evaluated for clinical applicability. This integration is exemplified by the evolving approach to presurgical infant orthopedics, where basic research on craniofacial growth and biomechanics informs the development of devices like nonalveolar molding (NAM) appliances, while clinical outcomes research evaluates their effectiveness in improving surgical outcomes and reducing burden of care.^[30]

This translational bridge is critically dependent on robust, longitudinally curated clinical data repositories paired with biospecimens. The future of integrated research lies in "reverse translation," where persistent clinical problems such as unpredictable midface growth or variable speech outcomes despite technically successful surgery directly inform targeted basic science inquiries into the underlying biological variability. Future progress will likely accelerate as convergent technologies enable more direct translation. Organoid models of human palatogenesis, for instance, allow direct experimentation on human tissue development without ethical concerns of human embryonic research. Similarly, advances in gene editing technologies like CRISPR-Cas9 facilitate functional validation of risk variants identified in genetic studies. These tools create opportunities not only for understanding pathogenesis but also for screening potential preventive or therapeutic compounds.

6.2 Addressing Persistent Disparities Through Innovation

Despite advances in understanding and treatment, profound global disparities in OFC outcomes persist. Children in low-resource settings face higher mortality rates, limited access to surgical care, and significant social stigma associated with unrepaired clefts.^[18,34] The traditional model of flying international surgical teams for short-term missions, while addressing immediate surgical needs, often fails to create sustainable local capacity or address the comprehensive, longitudinal needs of individuals with OFCs.

Future approaches to global cleft care must prioritize sustainable capacity building through training local providers, strengthening health systems, and development of context-appropriate care models. This includes exploring task-shifting approaches where appropriate, such as training general surgeons in basic cleft lip repair or community health workers in infant feeding support while maintaining quality standards. Telemedicine and digital health platforms offer promising tools for providing remote mentorship, consultation, and continuing education to providers in resource-limited settings.

Equally important is addressing the social determinants that affect OFC outcomes, including poverty, nutrition, education, and stigma. Comprehensive cleft care must extend beyond surgical repair to include psychosocial support, speech therapy, dental care, and educational advocacy. Community-based approaches that engage local stakeholders and leverage existing social support networks may be more sustainable and culturally appropriate than clinic-centered models imported from high-resource settings.

6.3 Ethical Considerations in an Era of Advancing Technology

As technologies advance, new ethical questions emerge that warrant careful consideration. Prenatal genetic testing for OFC risk variants raises complex issues around reproductive decision-making, potential discrimination, and the psychological impact of risk information. Similarly, the development of potential preventive interventions for high-risk pregnancies would require careful evaluation of risks and benefits, with particular attention to the vulnerability of pregnant persons and their fetuses.

Data ethics represent another important consideration as digital technologies generate increasingly detailed personal health information. Issues of data privacy, security, ownership, and consent become particularly salient when integrating genetic, imaging, and clinical data for predictive modeling or personalized care planning. Transparent policies and robust safeguards will be essential to maintain patient trust while enabling beneficial research and innovation.

Finally, as global initiatives seek to expand access to OFC care, attention must be paid to ethical resource allocation and avoidance of medical tourism models that prioritize foreign visitors over local patients. Partnerships between institutions in high resource and low-resource settings should emphasize mutual benefit, capacity building, and respect for local priorities and expertise.

6.4 Synthesis and Future Directions: A Convergent Roadmap

A critical synthesis of this review reveals several convergent themes that must guide future efforts. First, the dichotomy between "high-tech" innovation and "high touch" equitable care is a false one. The most meaningful advancements will be those that leverage technology such as AI for surgical planning or genomics for risk stratification specifically to decentralize expertise, personalize interventions in any setting, and build capacity within underserved health systems.

Second, the field must move beyond a purely corrective paradigm toward one predictive, preventive, and personalized management. This requires a fundamental shift in research investment toward longitudinal cohort studies that integrate multi-omics data, detailed environmental exposure histories, and granular long-term outcome measures. The goal is to develop actionable algorithms that can guide care from the prenatal period through adulthood, anticipating challenges rather than merely reacting to them.

Third, significant knowledge gaps persist. The biological mechanisms linking most genetic risk loci to altered craniofacial development remain opaque. The longitudinal impact of emerging surgical techniques on growth and psychosocial outcomes requires decades of follow-up. Perhaps most critically, the effectiveness of various global health delivery models for sustainable cleft care is poorly quantified. Future research must prioritize these mechanistic, longitudinal, and health systems questions with the same vigor applied to discovering new genetic.^[39]

7. CONCLUSION

Orofacial clefts illustrate the complex interplay between genetics, environment, and development. The field has evolved from simple surgical repair to a lifelong, multidisciplinary model of care, informed by growing molecular and genetic insight. Future progress hinges on integrating technological advances in genomics, surgery, and digital health to enable more predictive, preventive, and personalized management.

This technological promise must be matched by an equal commitment to global equity. Most individuals with clefts live in regions where even basic surgical care is inaccessible. Achieving a future of optimal outcomes for all, regardless of birthplace or circumstance, requires pairing innovation with deliberate efforts to strengthen health systems, build local capacity, and address the underlying social determinants of health.

REFERENCES

1. Salari, N., Darvishi, N., Heydari, M., Bokaeae, S., Darvishi, F., & Mohammadi, M., Global prevalence of cleft palate, cleft lip and cleft palate and lip: A comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Stomatology Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, 2021; 123(2): 110–120 DOI 10.1016/j.jormas.2021.05.008 . <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jormas.2021.05.008>
2. Hammond, N. L., & Dixon, M. J., Revisiting the embryogenesis of lip and palate development. *Oral Diseases*, 2022; 28(5): 1306–1326. <https://doi.org/10.1111/odi.14174>
3. Schutte, B. C., Saal, H. M., Goudy, S., & Leslie, E. J. (2021, March 4). IRF6-Related Disorders. *GeneReviews® - NCBI Bookshelf*. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK1407/>
4. Jia, Z., Mukhopadhyay, N., Yang, Z., Butali, A., Sun, J., You, Y., Yao, M., Zhen, Q., Ma, J., He, M., Pan, Y., Alade, A., Wang, Y., Olujitan, M., Qi, M., Adeyemo, W. L., Buxó, C. J., Gowans, L. J., Eshete, M., . . . Beaumont, R. N. (2024). Multi-ancestry genome wide Association Study Meta-analysis of non-syndromic orofacial clefts. *bioRxiv* (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory) DOI 10.1101/2024.12.06.24318522. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.12.06.24318522>
5. Kantar, R. S., Hamdan, U. S., Muller, J. N., Hemal, K., Younan, R. A., Haddad, M., Melhem, A. M., Griot, J. P. W. D., Breugem, C. C., & Mokdad, A. H., Global prevalence and burden of orofacial clefts: A Systematic Analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Journal of Craniofacial Surgery*, 2023; 34(7): 2012–2015 DOI 10.1097/scs.0000000000009591. <https://doi.org/10.1097/scs.0000000000009591>
6. Hammond, N. L., & Dixon, M. J., Revisiting the embryogenesis of lip and palate development. *Oral Diseases*, 2022b; 28(5): 1306–1326 DOI 10.1111/odi.14174. <https://doi.org/10.1111/odi.14174>
7. Schutte, B. C., Saal, H. M., Goudy, S., & Leslie, E. J. (2021b, March 4). IRF6-Related Disorders. *GeneReviews® - NCBI Bookshelf*. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK1407/>
8. Jia, Z., Mukhopadhyay, N., Yang, Z., Butali, A., Sun, J., You, Y., Yao, M., Zhen, Q., Ma, J., He, M., Pan, Y., Alade, A., Wang, Y., Olujitan, M., Qi, M., Adeyemo, W. L., Buxó, C. J., Gowans, L. J., Eshete, M., . . . Beaumont,

- R. N. (2024b). Multi-ancestry genome wide Association Study Meta-analysis of non-syndromic orofacial clefts. *bioRxiv* (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory) DOI 10.1101/2024.12.06.24318522. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.12.06.24318522>
9. Kantar, R. S., Hamdan, U. S., Muller, J. N., Hemal, K., Younan, R. A., Haddad, M., Melhem, A. M., Griot, J. P. W. D., Breugem, C. C., & Mokdad, A. H., Global prevalence and burden of orofacial clefts: A Systematic Analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Journal of Craniofacial Surgery*, 2023b; 34(7): 2012–2015. <https://doi.org/10.1097/scs.0000000000009591>
 10. Wang, D., Zhang, B., Zhang, Q., & Wu, Y., Global, regional and national burden of orofacial clefts from 1990 to 2019: an analysis of the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Annals of Medicine*, 2023; 55(1): 2215540 DOI 10.1080/07853890.2023.2215540. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07853890.2023.2215540>
 11. Dixon, M. J., Marazita, M. L., Beaty, T. H., & Murray, J. C., Cleft lip and palate: understanding genetic and environmental influences. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 2011; 12(3): 167–178 DOI 10.1038/nrg2933. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2933>
 12. Anesthetic management for concomitant correction of congenital cardiac defects and long “O” ring tracheal stenosis: a role for Heliox?: Report of 2 cases. (2018). *PubMed*. <https://doi.org/10.1213/XAA.0000000000000733>
 13. Wu, R., Zhai, Y., Miao, B., Niu, S., Zhang, H., Liu, F., & Ou, C., The second complete mitogenome of *Nemipterus virgatus* to dissect control region structure and phylogenetic problem of the superfamily Sparoidea (Teleostei, Perciformes). *Mitochondrial DNA Part B*, 2019; 4(2): 3409–3411. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23802359.2019.1674708>
 14. Elsayed, E. W., El-Ashmawy, A. A., El-Bassyouni, G. T., Mousa, S. M., El-Manawaty, M., & Emara, L. H., Formulation and evaluation of alginate-gelatin hydrogel scaffolds loaded with zinc-doped hydroxyapatite and 5-fluorouracil. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 2023; 237: 124147. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2023.124147>
 15. Griswold, D., Learman, K., Rossetini, G., Palese, A., Ickert, E., Wilhelm, M., Cook, C., & Bent, J., Identifying priority gaps in contextual factors research and force-based manipulation. An international and interdisciplinary Delphi study. *Journal of Manual & Manipulative Therapy*, 2023; 32(1): 118–126 DOI 10.1080/10669817.2023.2255820. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10669817.2023.2255820>
 16. Im, H., Song, Y., Kim, J. K., Park, D., Kim, D., Kim, H., & Shin, J., Molecular Regulation of palatogenesis and clefting: An integrative analysis of genetic, epigenetic networks, and environmental interactions. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 2025; 26(3): 1382 DOI 10.3390/ijms26031382. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms26031382>
 17. Im, H., Song, Y., Kim, J. K., Park, D., Kim, D., Kim, H., & Shin, J., Molecular Regulation of palatogenesis and clefting: An integrative analysis of genetic, epigenetic networks, and environmental interactions. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 2025; 26(3): 1382. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms26031382>
 18. Zhu, S., Tao, H., Cornell, R. A., & Liu, H., Functional validation of noncoding variants associated with nonsyndromic orofacial cleft. *Human Mutation*, 2025; 2025(1): 6824122 DOI 10.1155/humu/6824122. <https://doi.org/10.1155/humu/6824122>
 19. Kumari, P., Friedman, R. Z., Pi, L., Curtis, S. W., Paraiso, K., Visel, A., Rhea, L., Dunnwald, M., Patni, A. P., Mar, D., Bomsztyk, K., Mathieu, J., Ruohola-Baker, H., Leslie, E. J., White, M. A., Cohen, B. A., & Cornell, R. A.

- (2024). Identification of functional non-coding variants associated with orofacial cleft. *bioRxiv* (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory) DOI 10.1101/2024.06.01.596914. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.01.596914>
20. Xiao, J., Cai, M., Yu, X., Hu, X., Chen, G., Wan, X., & Yang, C., Leveraging the local genetic structure for trans-ancestry association mapping. *The American Journal of Human Genetics*, 2022; 109(7): 1317–1337. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2022.05.013>
 21. Brydges, H. T., Laspro, M., Verzella, A. N., Alcon, A., Schechter, J., Cassidy, M. F., Chaya, B. F., Iturrate, E., & Flores, R. L., Contemporary prevalence of oral clefts in the US: Geographic and socioeconomic considerations. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 2024c; 13(9): 2570 DOI 10.3390/jcm13092570. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm13092570>
 22. [The heart patient at high altitude: not just thin air]. (2023). PubMed. <https://doi.org/10.1714/4129.41230>
 23. Brum, R. S., Apaza-Bedoya, K., Labes, L. G., Volpato, C. Â. M., Pimenta, A. L., & Benfatti, C. a. M., Early Biofilm Formation on Rough and Smooth Titanium Specimens: a Systematic Review of Clinical Studies. *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Research*, 2021; 12(4): e1. <https://doi.org/10.5037/jomr.2021.12401>
 24. Ács, M., Cavalcante, B. G. N., Bănărescu, M., Wenning, A. S., Hegyi, P., Szabó, B., Harnos, A., Gerber, G., & Varga, G., Maternal factors increase risk of orofacial cleft: a meta-analysis. *Scientific Reports*, 2024; 14(1): 28104 DOI 10.1038/s41598-024-79346-7. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-79346-7>
 25. Li, D., Nyhan, K., Zhou, X., Zhu, Y., Castro, D., Vermund, S. H., & Brault, M., School closures and reopenings during the COVID-19 pandemic: a scoping review protocol. *BMJ Open*, 2022b; 12(2): e054292 DOI 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-054292. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-054292>
 26. Li, D., Nyhan, K., Zhou, X., Zhu, Y., Castro, D., Vermund, S. H., & Brault, M., School closures and reopenings during the COVID-19 pandemic: a scoping review protocol. *BMJ Open*, 2022; 12(2): e054292. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-054292>
 27. Govrin-Yehudain, Y., Hadad, E., & Heller, L., Updated trends of breast implant surgeries: An Israeli analysis. *Journal of Plastic Reconstructive & Aesthetic Surgery*, 2023; 88: 517–523 DOI 10.1016/j.bjps.2023.11.022. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjps.2023.11.022>
 28. Spinder, N., Bergman, J. E. H., Boezen, H. M., Kromhout, H., & De Walle, H. E. K., Maternal occupational exposure and oral clefts in offspring. *Environmental Health*, 2017; 16(1): 83 DOI 10.1186/s12940-017-0294-5. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12940-017-0294-5>
 29. Li, B., Lv, Y., Zhang, C., & Xiang, C., lncRNA HOXA11-AS maintains the stemness of oral squamous cell carcinoma stem cells and reduces the radiosensitivity by targeting miR-518a-3p/PDK1. *Journal of Oral Pathology and Medicine*, 2023; 52(3): 216–225. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jop.13405>
 30. Davies, A. J. V., Wren, Y. E., Hamilton, M., Sandy, J. R., Stergiakouli, E., & Lewis, S. J., Predicting Syndromic Status Based on Longitudinal Data from Parental Reports of the Presence of Additional Structural and Functional Anomalies in Children Born with an Orofacial Cleft. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 2024; 13(22): 6924 DOI 10.3390/jcm13226924. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm13226924>
 31. Rochmah, Y. S., Harumsari, S., Christiono, S., Hutami, I. R., Fatimah-Muis, S., & Faradz, S. M., Analysis of Consanguinity as Risk Factor of Nonsyndromic Cleft Lips with or without Palate. *European Journal of Dentistry*, 2023; 18(02): 598–603 DOI 10.1055/s-0043-1774334. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0043-1774334>
 32. Home | ERN CRANIO website. (n.d.). ERN CRANIO Website. <https://ern-cranio.eu/>

33. Royal Cornwall Hospitals NHS Trust. (2025, November 14). Home | Royal Cornwall Hospitals NHS Trust. <https://www.royalcornwall.nhs.uk/>
34. Grillo, R., Reis, B. a. Q., Brozoski, M. A., Traina, A. A., & Melhem-Elias, F., Optimizing drug regimens and supplementation in orthognathic surgery: A comprehensive and practical guide. *Journal of Stomatology Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, 2023; 125(1): 101639 DOI 10.1016/j.jormas.2023.10163. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jormas.2023.101639>
35. Gamble, C., Persson, C., Willadsen, E., Alberty, L., Andersen, H. S., Antoneli, M. Z., Appelqvist, M., Aukner, R., Bodling, P., Bowden, M., Brunnegård, K., Cairns, G., Calladine, S., Campbell, L., Clayton-Smith, J., Cooper, R., Conroy, E., El-Angbawi, A., Emborg, B. K., . . . Shaw, W., Timing of primary surgery for cleft palate. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 2023; 389(9): 795–807. DOI: 10.1056/nejmoa2215162. <https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa2215162>
36. Machado, A., Santos, M., Leitao, B., Silva, A., & Coutinho, M., Cleft palate and ventilation tubes: a prophylactic dilemma. *The Journal of Laryngology & Otology*, 2022; 137(5): 501–505 DOI 10.1017/s0022215122001232. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0022215122001232>
37. Santana, L. G., Avelar, K., Flores-Mir, C., & Marques, L. S., Incremental or maximal mandibular advancement in the treatment of class II malocclusion through functional appliances: A systematic review with meta-analysis. *Orthodontics and Craniofacial Research*, 2020; 23(4): 371–384 DOI 10.1111/ocr.12388. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ocr.12388>
38. Yang, S., De Goederen, R., Bredero-Boelhouwer, H., Joosten, K. F., & Mathijssen, I. M., Accuracy of detecting obstructive sleep apnea using ambulatory sleep studies in patients with syndromic craniosynostosis. *Journal of Craniofacial Surgery*, 2022; 33(8): 2538–2542. DOI 10.1097/scs.0000000000008801. <https://doi.org/10.1097/scs.0000000000008801>
39. Etoori, D., Park, M. H., Blackburn, R. M., Fitzsimons, K. J., Butterworth, S., Medina, J., Grath-Lone, L. M., Russell, C., & Van Der Meulen, J., Number and timing of primary cleft lip and palate repair surgeries in England: whole nation study of electronic health records before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. *BMJ Open*, 13(6), 2023; e071973 DOI 10.1136/bmjopen-2023-071973. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2023-071973>